Prepared for submission to JCAP

arXiv:1703.10033v2 [gr-qc] 27 Apr 2017

Mimicking Dark Matter with a Relaxed Nonminimal Coupling Between Curvature and Matter

Aníbal Silva[a] **and Jorge Páramos**[a]

[a]Departamento de Física e Astronomia and Centro de Física do Porto,
Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto,
Rua do Campo Alegre 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

E-mail: up201008538@fc.up.pt, jorge.paramos@fc.up.pt

Abstract. In this work we study how a nonminimally coupled theory of gravity in what we call the relaxed regime can give rise to an additional contribution to the field equations that may be interpreted as dark matter. Analytical solutions are derived in the appropriate perturbative regime and characterised, with an emphasis on comparison with known profiles for visible and dark matter. We compare with observations and obtain constraints on the parameters of the model.

Keywords: modified gravity, dark matter theory

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 The model **2**
2.1 Conformal Transformation 3
2.2 Dark Matter Mimicking 4

3 Stationary Case **4**

4 Analytical Solution **6**
4.1 Energy conditions 9
4.2 Standard DM Profiles 9
4.2.1 NFW 10
4.2.2 Isothermal 10
4.3 Mass budget 10
4.4 Mass 10

5 Model Constraints **12**

6 Conclusions **13**

1 Introduction

Einstein's field equations have been exhaustively studied throughout the past century, providing new ways of understanding our universe, from the local to the cosmological scale (see *e.g.* Refs. [1, 2]). Notwithstanding, General Relativity (GR), does not fully account for observations, thus giving rise to the need to invoke exotic forms of dark energy [3] or dark matter [4]: indeed, one of the main problems regarding astrophysics is the riddle posited by the latter, which is inferred from the mismatch between gravitational profiles (such as rotation curves of galaxies) and an insufficient visible matter content.

Traditionally, these dark components are modelled by the additional fields with suitable properties; alternatively, one can resort to modifications of GR itself, such as the class of the well known $f(R)$ theories, which generalize the Einstein-Hilbert action functional by replacing the linear dependence on the scalar curvature action [5]. More generally, one can also insert a nonminimal coupling between an arbitrary function of the scalar curvature to the Lagrangian density of matter [6, 7] (which can arise from one-loop vacuum-polarization effects in the formulation of Quantum Electrodynamics in a curved space-time [8] or from considering a Riemann-Cartan geometry [9]), as depicted below. In recent years, this theory has been carefully studied and has yielded several interesting results [10–18], while avoiding potential pitfalls [19, 20] (see Ref. [21] for a discussion).

The purpose of this work is to further develop a mechanism to mimic dark matter distributions in galaxies by resorting to a NMC model in a relaxed regime, as first described in Ref. [22]: we do so by carefully studying the implications of the assumed regime in the latter for a spherically symmetrical and stationary object. For that purpose, one first give a general description of the dynamics of the model. By giving suitable expressions to our

functions $f_i(R)$, $i = 1, 2$ and taking into the account the dominance of dark matter in the outer regions of a galaxy, we then simplify the ensuing system of differential equations and provide an approximate analytical solution. This allows us to assess the impact of this solution in both geometrical and physics variables. Finally, we compute the relevant observables by using data of rotational curves of galaxies, in order to constraint the parameters of the model.

2 The model

The action of the NMC model under scrutiny is written as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,[\kappa f_1(R) + f_2(R)\mathcal{L}_m] \quad , \tag{2.1}$$

where $\kappa = c^4/16\pi G$; notice that GR can be recovered by setting $f_1(R) = R$ and $f_2(R) = 1$. Variation with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields the modified field equations

$$\begin{aligned} &2\,(\kappa F_1 + F_2\mathcal{L}_m)\,G_{\mu\nu} - [\kappa\,(f_1 - F_1 R) - F_2 R\mathcal{L}_m]\,g_{\mu\nu} = \\ &f_2 T_{\mu\nu} + 2\Delta_{\mu\nu}\,(\kappa F_1 + F_2\mathcal{L}_m) \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $F_i = df_i/dR$, $(i = 1, 2)$, $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = \Box_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Box$ is defined for convenience and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy momentum tensor defined in the usual way:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \tag{2.3}$$

One of the most striking features of model (2.1) is that this is no longer covariantly-conserved, since

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{F_2}{f_2}(g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L} - T_{\mu\nu})\nabla^\mu R \quad . \tag{2.4}$$

In what follows, we shall consider that matter is described as an ideal and isotropic fluid,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)\,u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu} \quad , \tag{2.5}$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is pressure, and u_μ is the 4-velocity which has components $u_\mu = (u_0, 0, 0, 0)$ and obeys $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$ and $u_\mu u^\mu_{;\nu} = 0$.

Following [22, 23], one now considers the additional constraint

$$\kappa F_1 + F_2\mathcal{L}_m = \kappa \quad , \tag{2.6}$$

which fixes what we call the relaxed regime for the NMC. We assume that this condition is valid only in the region where DM dominates, but not applicable to the inner galactic region.

This restriction can be seen as a fixed point condition, since the $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ term on the field equations (2.2) vanishes accordingly. Indeed, in a cosmological context it was found that Eq. (2.6) arises naturally from a dynamical system formulation [24, 25], allowing for a de Sitter expansion of the Universe in the presence of a non-negligible matter content; a previous effort had shown that Eq. (2.6) leads to interesting modifications of the Friedmann equation [23] (see Ref. [10] for similar results).

In an astrophysical context, one can interpret it as a way to mimic dark matter [18, 22]. First used in Ref. [22], the above provides an immediate relation for $\rho = \rho(R)$ in the case

of a pressureless dust distribution; that study also showed that numerical solutions to the overall field equations admit solutions that have very small oscillations around the latter.

Condition (2.6) acts as an additional constraint $R = R(\mathcal{L}_m)$ to the ensuing system of differential equations: as such, an extra equation of state (EOS) is no longer required to be known *a priori*: instead, one may in principle solve the full set of equations and then read the corresponding EOS parameter $\omega(\rho) = p(\rho)/\rho$ from it.

Inserting (2.6) into the field equations (2.2) leads to the simplified form

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(f_2 T_{\mu\nu} + \kappa f_1 g_{\mu\nu}) \quad , \tag{2.7}$$

The ensuing trace yields

$$R = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(f_2 T + 4\kappa f_1\right) \quad , \tag{2.8}$$

so that solving it for f_1 and replacing into (2.7) one finally arrives at the traceless form

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}R g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}T g_{\mu\nu}\right) f_2 \quad , \tag{2.9}$$

which is strikingly similar to Unimodular gravity [26], although complemented by the NMC.

2.1 Conformal Transformation

Regarding the fixed point condition (2.6) imposed above, we notice that one could always insert an adimensional constant Ω in the r.h.s., and conformally transform it away. To see this clearly, one writes Eq. (2.6) in terms of a scaled curvature, which we identify it as $\tilde{R}$:

$$\kappa\frac{df_1}{d\tilde{R}} + \frac{df_2}{d\tilde{R}}\mathcal{L}_m = \kappa\Omega \rightarrow \frac{df_1}{d(\Omega\tilde{R})} + \frac{df_2}{d(\Omega\tilde{R})}\mathcal{L}_m = \kappa \quad , \tag{2.10}$$

so that making the identification $R = \Omega\tilde{R}$ will lead us back to condition (2.6): this is attained by conformally scaling the metric through $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu}$. Given this transformation, we denote $g_{\mu\nu}$ as the the conformal metric, which will be the one used to solve our field equations, and then transform back to the physical metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

Following Ref. [27, 28], where it was argued that the Lagrangian density of dust takes the form $\mathcal{L}_m = -\rho$, Ref. [22], adopts a trivial $f_1(R)$ and a power-law $f_2(R)$ functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tilde{R}) &= \tilde{R} \quad , \\ f_2(\tilde{R}) &= 1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R_n}\right)^n \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where R_n is a characteristic curvature scale and n is a power-law exponent: in order to mimic dark matter profiles, the latter must be negative, as we expect it to dominate in the outer regions of the galaxy when the curvature becomes sufficiently small. Replacing this functions in the scaled fixed point condition (2.10) yields

$$\kappa\frac{df_1}{d\tilde{R}} + \frac{df_2}{d\tilde{R}} = \kappa - \frac{n}{R_n}\left(\frac{\tilde{R}}{R_n}\right)^{n-1} \rho = \Omega\kappa \quad , \tag{2.12}$$

and solving for $\tilde{R}$ enables the relation

$$\tilde{R} = R_n \left(\frac{n}{\kappa(1-\Omega)} \frac{\rho}{R_n} \right)^{1/(1-n)} \quad . \tag{2.13}$$

In Ref. [22], the similar result is expressed as

$$\tilde{R} = R_n \left(\frac{1-2n}{2\kappa} \frac{\rho}{R_n} \right)^{1/(1-n)} \quad , \tag{2.14}$$

and matching these two results shows that the conformal factor relating the two metrics is

$$\Omega = \frac{1-4n}{1-2n} \quad . \tag{2.15}$$

Notice that in GR, a minimal coupling is recovered by setting $n = 0$, implying that $\Omega = 1$, hence yielding no impact in the dynamics of the system.

2.2 Dark Matter Mimicking

As stated, the main objective of this work is to mimic dark matter through a NMC between curvature and visible matter. Given the relation $R = R(\mathcal{L}_m)$ that arises out of the relaxed regime (2.6), we thus interprets the additional contributions to the field equations (2.9) as an additional contribution of matter to our object of study: to ascertain this, one writes the latter as the usual Einstein field equations with an additional energy-momentum tensor contribution for Dark Matter,

$$2\kappa G_{\mu\nu} = T^{(dm)}_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu} \quad , \tag{2.16}$$

Assuming that the latter also behaves as a perfect fluid,

$$T^{(dm)}_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_{dm} + p_{dm}) v_\mu v_\nu + p_{dm} g_{\mu\nu} \quad , \tag{2.17}$$

with $v_\mu = u_\mu$ (so that this mimicked dark matter is "dragged" by visible matter), one can easily arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} p_{dm} &= (f_2 - 1)\omega\rho - \frac{f_2 T}{4} - \frac{\kappa R}{2} \quad , \\ \rho_{dm} &= (f_2 - 1)\rho + \frac{f_2 T}{4} + \frac{\kappa R}{2} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

In the case of GR, these naturally vanish due to the usual trace condition $R = -T/2\kappa$ and the minimal coupling $f_2(R) = 1$.

3 Stationary Case

In this section one aims to obtain a system of differential equations to our variables of interest. Assuming spherical symmetry and stationarity, we adopt a Birkhoff metric of the form,

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\phi(r)} dt^2 + e^{2\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Sigma^2 \quad . \tag{3.1}$$

The non-vanishing components of the energy-momentum tensor of matter (2.5) can be easily evaluated,

$$T_{tt} = \rho e^{2\phi}, \quad T_{rr} = p e^{2\lambda}, \quad T_{\theta\theta} = p r^2 \quad , \tag{3.2}$$

while trace reads: $T = 3p - \rho$.

Given that a perfect fluid is described by a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = -\rho$ [27, 28], while radiation obeys $\mathcal{L} = p$, we adopt the general description

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\gamma\rho = \begin{cases} -\rho & , \gamma = 1 \\ p & , \gamma = -\omega \end{cases} \quad . \tag{3.3}$$

Taking the radial component of Eq. (2.4), we find

$$\phi'(\rho + p) = \frac{F_2}{f_2}(\mathcal{L}_m - p)R' - p' \quad , \tag{3.4}$$

so that

$$\phi' = -\beta\frac{F_2}{f_2}R' - \frac{1}{\omega + 1}\left(\omega' + \omega\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right) \quad ,$$

with the binary parameter

$$\beta = \frac{\gamma + \omega}{1 + \omega} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \gamma = 1 \\ 0 & , \gamma = -\omega \end{cases} \quad , \tag{3.5}$$

introduced for convenience.

We now address the field equation (2.9), resorting to the relation

$$g^{tt}R_{tt} - g^{rr}R_{rr} = -\frac{2}{r}e^{-2\lambda}\left(\phi' + \lambda'\right) \quad , \tag{3.6}$$

which, for the adopted diagonal metric, implies that

$$e^{-2\lambda}\left(\frac{\phi' + \lambda'}{r}\right) = \frac{\omega + 1}{4\kappa}f_2\rho \quad . \tag{3.7}$$

The $\theta - \theta$ component of (2.9) reads,

$$\frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{r}\left(\lambda' - \phi'\right) - \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{R}{4} + \frac{\omega + 1}{8\kappa}f_2\rho \quad , \tag{3.8}$$

and solving Eq. (3.7) for $\lambda'(r)$ yields

$$\lambda' = \frac{1 + \omega}{4\kappa}f_2\rho e^{2\lambda}r - \phi' \quad . \tag{3.9}$$

Inserting this result into Eq. (3.8) leads to

$$e^{2\lambda} = \frac{1 + 2r\phi'}{1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\left(R - \frac{1+\omega}{2\kappa}f_2\rho\right)} \quad . \tag{3.10}$$

Taking into the account the expression for the scalar curvature

$$\frac{e^{2\lambda}}{2}R = \frac{e^{2\lambda} - 1}{r^2} + \left(\frac{2}{r} + \phi'\right)\left(\lambda' - \phi'\right) - \phi'' \quad , \tag{3.11}$$

and replacing the two preceding expression for $e^{2\lambda}$ and λ' into it produces

$$(1+2r\phi')\left[\frac{1+\omega}{2\kappa}f_2\rho\left(1+\frac{r}{2}\phi'\right)-\frac{R}{2}+\frac{1}{r^2}\right]= \tag{3.12}$$
$$\left[\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{4}{r}\phi'+2(\phi')^2+\phi''\right]\left[1-\frac{r^2}{4}\left(R-\frac{1+\omega}{2\kappa}f_2\rho\right)\right] \quad .$$

Simplifying both sides, one can write

$$\frac{R}{8}-\frac{3}{8}\frac{1+\omega}{\kappa}f_2\rho r\left(\frac{1}{2r}+\phi'\right)+\frac{\phi'}{r}-\frac{1+\omega}{8\kappa}f_2\rho r^2\left((\phi')^2-\frac{\phi''}{2}\right) \tag{3.13}$$
$$+\left(1-\frac{R}{4}r^2\right)\left((\phi')^2+\frac{\phi''}{2}\right)=0 \quad .$$

Notice that, for consistency, one could obtain the same expression by differentiating Eq. (3.10) and inserting Eq. (3.9) into it.

Differentiating ϕ' yields

$$\phi''=\beta\left[\left(\frac{F_2}{f_2}\right)^2(R')^2-\frac{F_2'}{f_2}(R')^2-\frac{F_2}{f_2}R''\right]+\frac{\omega'}{(1+\omega)^2}\left[\omega'+\omega\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right] \tag{3.14}$$
$$-\frac{1}{1+\omega}\left[\omega''+\omega'\frac{\rho'}{\rho}-\omega\left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)^2+\omega\frac{\rho''}{\rho}\right] \quad ,$$

and replacing ϕ' and ϕ'' into Eq. (3.13), we finally arrive at the cumbersome expression below,

$$\frac{R}{8}-\frac{3}{8}\frac{1+\omega}{\kappa}f_2\rho r\left[\frac{1}{2r}-\beta\frac{F_2}{f_2}R'-\frac{1}{\omega+1}\left(\omega'+\omega\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{r}\left[\beta\frac{F_2}{f_2}R'+\frac{1}{\omega+1}\left(\omega'+\omega\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)\right]$$
$$-\frac{1+\omega}{8\kappa}f_2\rho r^2\left[\beta\left(\beta-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{F_2}{f_2}\right)^2(R')^2+\omega\frac{\omega-1}{2(\omega+1)^2}\left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)^2+2\beta\frac{1}{1+\omega}\frac{F_2}{f_2}R'\left(\omega\frac{\rho'}{\rho}+\omega'\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{\beta}{2}\frac{F_2'}{f_2}(R')^2+\frac{\beta}{2}\frac{F_2}{f_2}R''+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{1+\omega}\frac{\rho''}{\rho}+\frac{1+4\omega}{2(1+\omega)^2}\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\omega'+\frac{(\omega')^2+(1+\omega)\omega''}{2(1+\omega)^2}\right]$$
$$+\left[1-\frac{R}{4}r^2\right]\left[\beta\left(\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{F_2}{f_2}\right)^2(R')^2+\omega\frac{3\omega+1}{2(\omega+1)^2}\left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)^2+2\beta\frac{1}{1+\omega}\frac{F_2}{f_2}R'\left(\omega\frac{\rho'}{\rho}+\omega'\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{\beta}{2}\frac{F_2'}{f_2}(R')^2-\frac{\beta}{2}\frac{F_2}{f_2}R''-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega}{1+\omega}\frac{\rho''}{\rho}+\frac{4\omega-1}{2(1+\omega)^2}\omega'\frac{\rho'}{\rho}+\frac{3(\omega')^2-(1+\omega)\omega''}{2(1+\omega)^2}\right]=0 \quad , \tag{3.15}$$

a second order and non-linear differential equation for three variables $\rho(r), \omega(r)$ and $R(r)$.

4 Analytical Solution

The above differential equation (3.15) may be simplified by suitably defining the dimensionless variables

$$x\equiv r\sqrt{R_2} \quad , \quad y\equiv\frac{R}{R_2} \quad , \quad \varrho\equiv\frac{\rho}{2\kappa R_2} \quad , \tag{4.1}$$

where R_2 is an arbitrary scale. Given this, the relaxed regime condition (2.6) and trace Eq. (2.8) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= F_1 - 2\gamma F_2 R_2 \varrho \quad , \\ y &= f_2(3\omega - 1)\varrho + \frac{2f_1}{R_2} \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

while the differential equation (3.15) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & y - 3(1+\omega) f_2 \varrho x \left[\frac{1}{x} - 2\beta \frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} y' - \frac{2}{\omega+1}\left(\omega' + \omega \frac{\varrho'}{\varrho}\right)\right] - \frac{8}{x}\left[\beta \frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} y' + \frac{1}{\omega+1}\left(\omega' + \omega\frac{\varrho'}{\varrho}\right)\right] \\ & - (1+\omega) f_2 \varrho x^2 \left[\beta\,(2\beta - 1)\left(\frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2}\right)^2 (y')^2 + \omega \frac{\omega - 1}{(\omega+1)^2}\left(\frac{\varrho'}{\varrho}\right)^2 + 4\beta \frac{1}{1+\omega}\frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} y'\left(\omega \frac{\varrho'}{\varrho} + \omega'\right)\right. \\ & \left. + \beta \frac{F_2' R_2^2}{f_2}(y')^2 + \beta \frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} y'' + \frac{\omega}{1+\omega}\frac{\varrho''}{\varrho} + \frac{1+4\omega}{(1+\omega)^2}\frac{\varrho'}{\varrho}\omega' + \frac{(\omega')^2 + (1+\omega)\omega''}{(1+\omega)^2}\right] \\ & + \left[4 - y x^2\right]\left[\beta\,(2\beta + 1)\left(\frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2}\right)^2 (y')^2 + \omega \frac{3\omega + 1}{(\omega+1)^2}\left(\frac{\varrho'}{\varrho}\right)^2 + 4\beta \frac{1}{1+\omega}\frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} y'\left(\omega \frac{\varrho'}{\varrho} + \omega'\right)\right. \\ & \left. - \beta \frac{F_2' R_2^2}{f_2}(y')^2 - \beta \frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} y'' - \frac{\omega}{1+\omega}\frac{\varrho''}{\varrho} + \frac{4\omega - 1}{(1+\omega)^2}\omega'\frac{\varrho'}{\varrho} + \frac{3(\omega')^2 - (1+\omega)\omega''}{(1+\omega)^2}\right] = 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Recalling the discussion of paragraph 2.1 and following Ref. [22], we adopt the "conformally transformed" forms

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(R) &= \frac{1 - 2n}{1 - 4n} R \quad , \\ f_2(R) &= 1 + \left(\frac{1 - 2n}{1 - 4n}\frac{R}{R_n}\right)^n \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

so that conditions (4.2) read

$$\begin{aligned} y^{1-n} &= (1 - 4n)\varrho \quad , \\ y &= (1 - 4n)(1 + y^n)(1 - 3\omega)\varrho \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

having imposed the natural identification $R_2 = R_n(1 - 4n)/(1 - 2n)$. This allows us to write the set of relations

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= 1 + y^n \quad , \\ \frac{F_2 R_2}{f_2} &= n \frac{y^{n-1}}{1 + y^n} \quad , \\ \frac{F_2' R_2^2}{f_2} &= (n-1) n \frac{y^{n-2}}{1 + y^n} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

One thus see that the differential equation (4.3) only involves the parameter n. The high-curvature regime identified with a dark matter halo induced by a non-minimally coupled pressureless dust distribution can be checked by setting $\omega \sim 0$. Solving the trace in Eq. (4.5) for the EOS parameter yields

$$y^n = [(1 - 4n)\varrho]^{n/(1-n)} = \frac{1 - 3\omega}{3\omega} \approx \frac{1}{3\omega} \quad , \tag{4.7}$$

so that the vanishingly small pressure of visible matter translates into the dominance of the NMC term, $\omega \sim 0 \to y^n \sim f_2 \gg 1$; since we consider a negative exponent $n < 0$, this translates into a very small reduced curvature $y \ll 1$, as argued before.

Given this, our visible variables are written implicitly in terms of scalar curvature by:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{1-4n} 2\kappa R_2 \left(\frac{R}{R_2}\right)^{1-n} \quad , \quad \omega = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{R}{R_2}\right)^{-n} \quad , \tag{4.8}$$

while the mimicked dark matter distribution can be read by replacing the conformally transformed functions f_i (4.4) in (2.18):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{dm} &= \frac{1-n}{1-4n} 2\kappa R \quad , \\ p_{dm} &= \frac{n}{1-4n} 2\kappa R \left[1 + \frac{1}{3n}\left(\frac{R}{R_2}\right)^{-n}\right] \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Aside the conformal factor Ω, this expressions resembles the one previously found in Ref. [22], with an extra contribution due to the visible matter pressure in the second equation of (4.9) — which is clearly perturbative.

Given the high-curvature regime above, we attempt to solve the differential equation (4.3) by resorting to the reduced expressions for visible matter (4.5), EOS parameter (4.7) and the expressions which relate the coupling function f_2 and the reduced curvature y (4.6). To do so, one first solves it for y'' and, since $y \ll 1$, expand (4.3) perturbatively $y \sim 0$ to first order, yielding the considerably simplified equation,

$$-\frac{2y'(x)}{x} + (1+2n)\frac{y'(x)^2}{y(x)} - y''(x) = 0 \quad . \tag{4.10}$$

This non-linear second order differential equation has an analytical solution given by

$$y(x) = y_f \left(\frac{2x}{x_f + x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad . \tag{4.11}$$

where x_f and y_f are constants: it is easy to check that at $x = x_f$, $y(x_f) = y_f$, so this normalization simply gives us the value of the scalar curvature evaluated at a given radius x_f. Given this, one thus identify x_f as the reduced radius of the visible part of a given galaxy, while y_f the correspondent value of the reduced scalar curvature.

In the asymptotic regime $x \gg x_f$, *i.e.* for a region sufficiently far away from our galaxy, one has

$$y(x) \sim 2^{\frac{1}{2n}} y_f \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\frac{x_f}{x}\right) \quad , \tag{4.12}$$

so that the scalar curvature approaches the value

$$y(\infty) \sim 2^{\frac{1}{2n}} y_f \quad , \tag{4.13}$$

which we interpret as the background contribution of the curvature.

Conversely, in the limit $x \ll x_f$, *i.e.* for regions where we aim to obtain a mimicked dark matter halo, one has:

$$y(x) \sim y_f \left(\frac{2x}{x_f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\frac{x}{x_f}\right) \quad . \tag{4.14}$$

The scalar curvature and the respective dark matter contributions can be explicitly written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(r) &= R_f \left(\frac{2r}{r_f + r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad , \\
\rho_{dm}(r) &= \frac{1-n}{1-4n} 2\kappa R_f \left(\frac{2r}{r_f + r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad , \\
p_{dm}(r) &= \frac{n}{1-4n} 2\kappa R_f \left(\frac{2r}{r_f + r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}n}\left(\frac{R_f}{R_2}\right)^{-n} \sqrt{1 + \frac{r_f}{r}}\right) \quad ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

while the visible variables are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(r) &= \frac{2\kappa R_f}{1-4n} \left(\frac{R_f}{R_2}\right)^{-n} \left(\frac{2r}{r_f + r}\right)^{\frac{1-n}{2n}} \quad , \\
\omega(r) &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{R_f}{R_2}\right)^{-n} \sqrt{1 + \frac{r_f}{r}} \quad ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.16}
$$

where r_f denotes a given boundary radius of the galaxy and R_f the correspondent scalar curvature.

4.1 Energy conditions

Following Refs. [19, 22], the strong, null, weak, and dominant energy conditions (SEC, NEC, WEC and DEC, respectively) are obeyed if

$$
1 + \frac{E_n}{1 + \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{-n}} \geq 0, \tag{4.17}
$$

with

$$
E_n = \begin{cases} -2n & , \text{ SEC} \\ 0 & , \text{ NEC} \\ 2n & , \text{ DEC} \\ n & , \text{ WEC} \end{cases} \quad . \tag{4.18}
$$

Since the NMC is strong, $f_2(R) \sim (R/R_n)^n \gg 1$, the above is satisfied if $1 + E_n > 0$. Thus, the NEC is trivially satisfied and, since the exponent n is negative, so is the SEC. The DEC and WEC are obeyed if $-1/2 < n < 0$, which is the case for all the relevant visible or dark matter profiles considered here.

4.2 Standard DM Profiles

If one wishes to compare the limit found above with the well known dark matter profiles, namely the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [29] and isothermal [30] distributions, we neglect the second term of (4.14), since the first will always dominate in the halo regions, so that

$$
y(x) \sim y_f \left(\frac{2x}{x_f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad . \tag{4.19}
$$

Thus, we obtain a direct translation between the slope of the dark matter distribution $\rho_{dm} \sim y$ and the NMC exponent n.

4.2.1 NFW

In the outer galactic regions, the NFW dark matter energy density profile scales as $\rho_{dm}(r) \propto r^{-3}$. Thus, Eq. (4.19) immediately yelds

$$\frac{1}{2n} = -3 \to n = -\frac{1}{6} \quad , \tag{4.20}$$

so that visible matter scales as

$$\varrho \propto y^{1-n} \propto x^{-7/2} \quad . \tag{4.21}$$

4.2.2 Isothermal

By the same token, the isothermal profile falls with $\rho_{dm}(r) \propto r^{-2}$, so that

$$\frac{1}{2n} = -2 \to n = -\frac{1}{4} \quad , \tag{4.22}$$

and visible matter behaves as

$$\varrho \propto x^{-5/2} \quad . \tag{4.23}$$

Comparing with the Hernquist profile [31] for the luminous matter, where $\varrho \propto x^{-4}$, we conclude that the NFW profile appears to provide the closest profile for DM.

For completeness, one can also check that the correspondent exponent n which yields the exact Hernquist profile is given by $n = -1/7$.

4.3 Mass budget

In 2.2 we imposed that the total contribution of dark and visible matter to the energy momentum tensor satisfies the GR equation. With this in mind, the metric elements are simply given by the standard interior solution of a spherical object with an energy momentum tensor described in (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned} m(r) &= 4\pi \int \tilde{\rho}_t(r) r^2 dr \quad , \quad e^{2\lambda(r)} = \left(1 - \frac{m(r)}{8\pi\kappa r}\right)^{-1} \quad , \\ e^{2\phi(r)} &= \exp\left(\int \frac{4\pi\tilde{p}_t(r) r^3 + m(r)}{r(8\pi\kappa r - m(r))} dr\right) \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_t$ and $\tilde{p}_t$ denote, respectively, the total (visible + dark) energy density and pressure in the physical frame. Notice that one could always find the metric elements by using the expressions found in 3: however, the above is clearly more convenient, once the mimicked dark matter behaviour has been written explicitly. Furthermore, it is known that a galaxy is within the validity of the Newtonian regime, $g^{\mu\nu} \sim \eta^{\mu\nu}$.

4.4 Mass

When computing the mass of our spherical object, one needs to be careful in the limits of integration: indeed, since the validity of our solution (4.11) is satisfied only in the halo regions of our galaxy, one cannot simply integrate it from the center to a given radius r. Hence, one defines an inner radius a, which denotes the regions where dark matter starts to dominate over visible matter.

Using the definition of mass in the first equation of (4.24), one has that the mass component M_i enclosed in the halo region $a < r < r_f$ is given by

$$M_i = 4\pi \int_a^{r_f} \tilde{\rho}_i(r) r^2 dr \quad . \tag{4.25}$$

Resorting to the Eq. (4.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(r) &\sim \frac{1}{1-4n} 2\kappa R_2 \left(\frac{R_f}{R_2}\right)^{1-n} \left(\frac{2r}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1-n}{2n}} \quad , \\ \rho_{dm}(r) &\sim \frac{1-n}{1-4n} 2\kappa R_f \left(\frac{2r}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

The contribution of dark matter mass to the galaxy halo is thus given by:

$$M_{dm} = h_n \frac{\tilde{R}_f r_f^3 c^2}{G} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1+6n}{2n}}\right) \quad . \tag{4.27}$$

for $n \neq -1/6$, where

$$h_n \equiv \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2n}} n(1-n)}{(1-4n)(1+6n)} \quad . \tag{4.28}$$

In order to keep the mass positive defined, the condition $n < -1/6$ is required.

For $n = -1/6$, it is easy to see that the dark matter mass has a logarithmic dependence (a characteristic of the NFW profile),

$$M_{dm} = \frac{7}{160} \tilde{R}_f r_f^2 \frac{r_f c^2}{G} \ln\left(\frac{r_f}{a}\right) \quad . \tag{4.29}$$

For any value of the exponent $n \neq -1/5$ (which does not correspond to any profile of interest and is thus disregarded), the visible mass enclosed within the mimicked dark matter halo is given by

$$M_v = h'_n \left(\frac{\tilde{R}_f}{R_n}\right)^{-n} \frac{\tilde{R}_f r_f^3 c^2}{G} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{5n+1}{2n}}\right) \quad . \tag{4.30}$$

with

$$h'_n \equiv \frac{2^{\frac{1-n}{2n}} n}{(1-4n)(1+5n)} \quad . \tag{4.31}$$

the ratio between dark matter and visible mass is thus

$$\frac{M_{dm}}{M_v} = \frac{h_n}{h'_n} \left(\frac{\tilde{R}_f}{R_n}\right)^n \frac{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1+6n}{2n}}}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1+5n}{2n}}} \quad , \tag{4.32}$$

for $n \neq -1/6$ and

$$\frac{M_{dm}}{M_v} = \frac{7}{160 h'_n} \left(\frac{\tilde{R}_f}{R_n}\right)^n \frac{\ln\left(\frac{r_f}{a}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1+5n}{2n}}} \quad , \tag{4.33}$$

for $n = -1/6$. Since $h'_n \sim h_n$ and $a \lesssim r_f$, the high-curvature regime $\tilde{R}_f / R_n \gg 1$ implies that dark matter mass will dominate, as desired.

NGC	$M_v(10^{10}M_\odot)$	$M_{dm}(10^{11}M_\odot)$	$r_f(kpc)$	$a(kpc)$	$y_f(10^{-3})$
2434	4.6	1.9	18	5.1	0.6
5846	36.4	8.5	70	21.1	2.1
6703	5.6	1.2	18	2.7	1.1
7145	3.8	1.4	25	4.0	0.4
7192	7.1	2.7	30	4.1	0.3
7507	7.9	3.5	18	4.7	0.3
7626	30.7	8.7	50	11.0	0.4

Table 1. Data obtained by performing a fit in the rotational curves of the galaxies NGC.

5 Model Constraints

In this section, we aim to compare the expressions found in the preceding section with observed values. Since our solutions were found by the assumption that our object has spherical symmetry, we adopt the dataset reported in Ref. [32, 33] for galaxies of type E0, which display low eccentricity. The relevant quantities (a, r_f) and enclosed visible and dark matter masses (as inferred from the corresponding rotation curves) are depicted in Table 1.

Given this, a χ^2 fitting procedure is followed to ascertain which parameters n and R_n lead to the best fit of the mimicked dark matter to visible mass ratio (4.32) and (4.33) to the values obtained from Table 1. For that purpose, one eliminates $\tilde{R}_f$ in this equations by resorting to (4.27) and (4.29) yielding, respectively

$$\frac{M_{dm}}{M_v} = \frac{h_n^{1-n}}{h'_n}\left(r_f^2 R_n \frac{r_f c^2}{GM_{dm}}\right)^{-n}\left[1-\left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{5n+1}{2n}}\right]^{-1}\left[1-\left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{6n+1}{2n}}\right]^{1-n}, \tag{5.1}$$

for $n \neq -1/6$, and

$$\frac{M_{dm}}{M_v} = \frac{1}{h'_n}\left[\frac{7}{160}\ln\left(\frac{r_f}{a}\right)\right]^{1-n}\left(r_f^2 R_n \frac{r_f c^2}{GM_{dm}}\right)^{-n}\left[1-\left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{5n+1}{2n}}\right]^{-1}, \tag{5.2}$$

for $n = -1/6$.

Following through with the numerical session, it is found that the value for the adjusted correlation coefficient r^2 increases as one arbitrarily approaches $n = -1/6$. The best fit is then found when $n = -1/6$, yielding a correlation coefficient $r^2 = 0.86$: this relatively low value can be reflected by small deviations from sphericity in the selected type E0 galaxies, as well as unmodeled localized features and inhomogeneities.

The best fit for the characteristic length scale was found to be $R_n \approx 5\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-2}$, although with a large uncertainty stemming from the relative lack of sensibility of (5.2) to it: as such, we are only allowed to conclude that $R_n \sim \mathrm{Mpc}^{-2}$.

Finally, it is also interesting to see where the assumption behind the high-curvature regime holds. For that, one can resort to Eq. (4.33) (since it provides the best fit as described above) and solve it for $\tilde{R}_f/R_n$. This yields

$$y_f \equiv \frac{\tilde{R}_f}{R_n} = \left(\frac{160h'_n}{7}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\left(\frac{M_{dm}}{M_v}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{a}{r_f}\right)^{\frac{1+5n}{2n}}}{\ln\left(\frac{r_f}{a}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{5.3}$$

where the correspondent values of y_f can be found in the last column of Table 1. As it can be seen, the results confirm that the perturbative regime $y \sim y_f \ll 1$ is valid, as assumed.

6 Conclusions

In this work, we showed that the rich phenomenology of a model endowed with a nonminimal coupling between curvature and matter allows for the possibility of mimicking dark matter profiles for galaxies which exhibit spheric symmetry. This result was obtained by assuming a relaxed regime, interpreted as a fixed point condition, which allowed us to relate the Lagrangian density with the curvature, replacing the need for an additional EOS.

By assuming a power law form to the NMC function $f_2(R)$ motivated by the previous work reported in Ref. [22], we have solved the relevant equation in the perturbative regime and characterized the ensuing solutions: in particular, we confirm the relation between visible and dark matter density profiles found in that study and further refine it by explicitly deriving their individual dependence on the exponent n of the NMC function: we recall that Ref. [22] only provided the translation between visible and dark matter profiles, but did not account for why these adopt a particular radial dependence.

We also show that the NMC must be strong, $f_2 \gg 1$ if the mimicked dark matter is to dominate at large distances: this translates into a perturbative regime where the scalar curvature is much smaller than the characteristic curvature scale $R \ll R_n$. Furthermore, we have shown that this condition does not violate the appropriate energy conditions.

Finally, the enclosed masses were obtained and used to compare with observation, showing that the NFW profile for the mimicked dark matter component is favored, given by an exponent $n = -1/6$ (or an arbitrarily close value). The characteristic curvature scale was found to be of the order of $R_n \sim 1$ Mpc^{-2}, although the quality of the fit is not sufficient to fix it more accurately.

Given the interesting results obtained, it is tempting to assume that all dark matter can be regarded as due to the dynamical effect of the NMC between curvature and matter. However, the striking evidence of the separation between dark and visible matter provided by the Bullet cluster further complicates the issue [34]: indeed, if dark matter is not a real matter type, but merely reflects the enhanced gravity of visible matter due to the model under scrutiny (or, indeed, any extension of GR), then it is plausible that it should inherit the spatial symmetry of visible matter: in other words, if visible matter interacts and produces the shock wave directly observed in the Bullet cluster, the ensuing gravitational profile should also exhibit this feature, instead of maintaining an approximate spherical symmetry. This, however, could be tackled by assuming that the NMC is more strongly coupled to neutrinos, which do not interact, than to visible matter: such possibility should be further investigated.

References

[1] C. M. Will, *Living Rev. Rel.* **9**, 3 (2006).

[2] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, "The experimental status of Special and General Relativity", in *Handbook of Spacetime*, Springer, Berlin (2013).

[3] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* D **15**, 1753 (2006).

[4] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, *Phys. Repts.* **405**, 279 (2005).

[5] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Relativ.* **13**, 3 (2010).

[6] L. Amendola and D. Tocchini-Valentini, *Phys. Rev.* D **64**, 043509 (2001); S. 'i. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *PoS WC* **2004**, 024 (2004); G. Allemandi, A. Borowiec, M. Francaviglia and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev.* D **72**, 063505 (2005); T. Koivisto, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **23**, 4289 (2006).

[7] O. Bertolami, C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev.* D **75**, 104016 (2007).

[8] I. T. Drummond and S. J. Hathrell, *Phys. Rev.* D **22**, 343 (1980).

[9] H. F. M. Goenner, *Found. Phys.* **14**, 865 (1984).

[10] O. Bertolami, P. Frazão and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **81**, 104046 (2010).

[11] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **84**, 064022 (2011).

[12] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev.* D **72**, 063505 (2005).

[13] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **84**, 064022 (2011).

[14] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Gen. Relativity and Gravitation* **47**, 1835 (2014).

[15] J. Páramos and C. Bastos, *Phys. Rev.* D **86**, 103007 (2012).

[16] N. Castel-Branco , J. Páramos and R. March, *Phys. Lett.* B **735**, 25 (2014).

[17] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **25**, 245017 (2008).

[18] O. Bertolami, P. Frazão and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **86**, 044034 (2012).

[19] O. Bertolami and M. C. Sequeira, *Phys. Rev.* D **79**, 104010 (2009).

[20] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Gen. Relativity and Gravitation* **48** no.3, 34 (2016).

[21] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **11**, 1460003 (2014).

[22] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *JCAP* **2010**, 03 (2010).

[23] O. Bertolami and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **89**, 044012 (2014).

[24] R. Ribeiro and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **90**, 124065 (2014).

[25] R. P. L. Azevedo and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **94**, 064036 (2016).

[26] A. Einstein, Siz. Preuss. Acad. Scis. (1919); M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, *Phys. Lett.* B **222**, 195 (1989); W. G. Unruh,*Phys. Rev.* D **40**, 1048 (1989) ; W. G. Unruh and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev.* D **40**, 2598 (1989).

[27] J. D. Brown, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **10**, 8 (1993).

[28] O. Bertolami, F. S. N. Lobo and J. Páramos, *Phys. Rev.* D **78**, 064036 (2008).

[29] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. D. M. White, *Ap. J.* **462**, 563 (1996).

[30] Y. Suto, S. Sasaki and N. Makino, *Ap. J.* **509**, 2 (1998).

[31] L. Hernquist, *Ap. J.* **356**, 359 (1990).

[32] O. Gerhard, A. Kronawitter, R. P. Saglia and R. Bender *Ap. J.* **121**, 1936 (2001).

[33] A. Kronawitter, R. P. Saglia, O. Gerhard and R. Bender *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* **144**, 53 (2000).

[34] D. Clowe, A. Gonzalez and M. Markevitch, *Ap. J.* **604** 596 (2004).